## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

	United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	V. Miguel Mellin-Castillo  Defendant	Case No. 1:10 Cr 254
		n Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
	Part I – Findi	ings of Fact
(1)		8 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156 which the prison term is 10 years or more.	6(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for
	an offense for which the maximum sentence is de	ath or life imprisonment.
	an offense for which a maximum prison term of te	n years or more is prescribed in:
	a felony committed after the defendant had been u.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or	convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 local offenses.
	any felony that is not a crime of violence but involv	ves:
	the possession or use of a firearm or a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. §	destructive device or any other dangerous weapon 2250
(2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed whil or local offense.	e the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state
(3)	A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the offense described in finding (1).	_ date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the
(4)	Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumpt person or the community. I further find that defendant h	ion that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another as not rebutted that presumption.
	Alternative F	Findings (A)
<b>√</b> (1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has	as committed an offense
	✓ for which a maximum prison term of ten years or r Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et seq under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	
<b>√</b> (2)		shed by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions the safety of the community.
_	Alternative F	indings (B)
<b>√</b> (1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear	r.
(2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger	
	Part II – Statement of the	Reasons for Detention
evidence	✓ a preponderance of the evidence that:	letention hearing establishes by clear and convincing
Mexico be inter- irrespon co-defer driver's	and that he was born in Michigan. Nothing is known aboviewed by Pretrial Services. His criminal history features asible behavior, such as reckless driving, domestic violen and ants entered this state only recently, allegedly for purp license last month by providing a false address to the Sewhere else.	ce and driving on a suspended license. Defendant and his oses of distributing drugs. Defendant procured a Michigan cretary of State. He has no legitimate ties to this State and few
-	Part III – Directions F	
		General or a designated representative for confinement in a sawaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending

Name and Title: Joseph G. Scoville, U.S. Magistrate Judge

appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the

defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

September 9, 2010

Date:

Judge's Signature: /s/ Joseph G. Scoville